

MCLAUGHLIN & STERN, LLP
FOUNDED 1898

CHESTER R. OSTROWSKI
Partner
costrowski@mclaughlinstern.com
Direct Dial: (212) 455-0454

260 MADISON AVENUE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10016
(212) 448-1100
FAX (212) 448-0066
www.mclaughlinstern.com

GREAT NECK, NEW YORK
MILLBROOK, NEW YORK
WESTPORT, CONNECTICUT
WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA
NAPLES, FLORIDA

May 8, 2020

VIA CM/ECF AND E-MAIL

Hon. Victor Marrero, U.S.D.J.
U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street, Suite 1610
New York, New York 10007
ChambersNYSDMarrero@nysd.uscourts.gov

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 5/11/2020

Re: **Bruce J. Reid v. Jonathan S. Sack, et al., Civil Action No. 20-cv-01817 (VM)**

Dear Judge Marrero:

We represent Plaintiff Bruce J. Reid ("Plaintiff") in the above-referenced action. We are in receipt of the letter filed earlier today by counsel for Defendants Jonathan S. Sack, Michael H. Mui, and Sack & Sack, LLP ("Defendants") [CM/ECF Doc. 17] and believe that it improperly exceeds the scope of the correspondence contemplated under Section II.B.2. of Your Honor's Individual Rules of Practice. In short, Plaintiff made a good faith effort to respond to Defendant's initial pleading deficiency letter, but his response was necessarily limited to three pages. Rather than calling or e-mailing to discuss any alleged failure by Plaintiff to address certain points or any purported failure by Plaintiff to cite sufficient case law, Defendants went ahead and filed what amounts to a six-page "reply" brief, which not only mischaracterizes the arguments raised by Plaintiff, but also suggests that such arguments lack merit simply because they were not *fully* addressed in Plaintiff's "informal" three-page response. To the extent the Court is inclined to consider such extended briefing by Defendants, Plaintiff respectfully requests the opportunity to submit his own letter of equal length on or before next Friday, March 15, 2020, further setting forth the reasons why Defendants' proposed motion to dismiss is unwarranted.

Respectfully submitted,



Chester R. Ostrowski, Esq.

REQUEST GRANTED. Plaintiff may respond, by May 15, 2020, to the matters set forth in Defendants' letter (Dkt. No. 17) by letter not to exceed six pages. Upon receipt of Plaintiff's letter, the Court shall require no further correspondence or briefing to resolve the issues raised in the parties' letter exchange.

SO ORDERED.

5/11/2020

DATE


VICTOR MARRERO, U.S.D.J.